|  | Site Visit Issue Worksheet Guidelin   | nes  |
|--|---|--|
| This worksheet explores an                     | n issue requiring verification and/or clarification at the site visit   | i.   |
| Application Number                             | Priority(High, Medium, Lo   | w) Examiner Initials                                       |
| Item Ref:                                      | Issue (Record only one issue per page):   |  |
| Number sequentially (e.g., 1.1-1, 1.1-2, etc.) |   | Prioritize based on possible impact on score, Key Factors. |
|  |   |  |
| Consensus Evaluation: Stre                     | ength+ +++ Opportunity for Improvement  | Not originally evaluated                                   |
|  | ormation do you need, and how do you intend to s to ask, specific documents and for what purpos   |  |
| Findings (Observati                            | Be as complete and specific as possible. Expect to changes and additions during the site visit, based of findings. For deployment issues, link walk-around to the interview strategy. The Judges want to see issue was thoroughly investigated and what method to accomplish the investigation. | on new<br>d questions<br>that the                          |
|  | This is an audit trail of the findings. Based on the site vis interview approach, what was learned? Provide specific i documents reviewed, overall responses to the deployment Remember to verify and clarify issues from the Consensu  | information (e.g.,<br>t questions).                        |
| Conclusions (What                              | is  |  |
| der<br>or                                      | sed on the findings above, what is the conclusion? Is the apployment in the early stages? Are the results showing positive verified? Is the approach as effective as thought at consensuald have on the Item comment.   | ve trends? Has the issue been clarified                    |
| •  |   | no effectlower   |
| If more than one fin   ▶                       | ding, put "*" next to the most important for the  | e score change.)   |
|  |   |  |
|  | The applicant is not re-scored at site visit. Note on this I would be if the applicant were re-scored. If an effective for which credit was given at consensus, there is "no effe should be consistent with similar notations on the final I  | approach was verified, ect." These effects                 |